

# Augmented Lagrangian

Thursday, 5 February 2026 17:06

Solves the following constrained optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_x f(x) \\ \text{s.t. } c_I(x) \leq 0, c_E(x) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

## Unconstrained Optimization Problem

$$L_A(x, \lambda, \mu) = f(x) + \lambda^T c(x) + \frac{1}{2} c(x)^T I_\mu c(x)$$

where

$$I_{\mu,ii} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } c_i(x) < 0 \wedge \lambda_i = 0, \text{ for } i \in \mathcal{I} \\ \mu_i & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let us interpret this weird matrix  $I_\mu$ .

Equality Constraints:  $c_E(x) = 0$ , always enforced, meaning that  $I_{\mu,ii} = \mu_i$

Inactive Inequality Constraints:  $c_i(x) < 0$  and  $\lambda_i = 0$ , meaning that  $I_{\mu,ii} = 0$

Active Inequality Constraints:  $c_i(x) > 0$  and  $\lambda_i > 0$ , meaning that  $I_{\mu,ii} = \mu_i$

Basically, the quadratic term is always on except for inactive inequality constraints.

## Dual Update

We solve using any type of convex solver:

$$\hat{x}^* \approx \arg \min_x L_A(x, \lambda, \mu)$$

What is the gradient of the unconstrained optimization problem?

$$\frac{\partial L_A}{\partial \lambda} = c(x)$$

Now we want to increase the constraints:

Equality:  $\lambda_{i+1} = \lambda_i + \mu_i c_i(\hat{x}^*)$

Inequality:  $\lambda_{i+1} = \max(0, \lambda_i + \mu_i c_i(\hat{x}^*))$

We increase the penalty monotonically with a schedule  $\phi_i > 1$

$$\mu_{i+1} = \phi_i \mu_i$$